Use of satellite radiances in the ECMWF system
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Four Dimensional variational data assimilation (4D-Var)
ECMWF operations October 2003

- **AQUA AIRS**
- 3xAMSUA (NOAA-15/16/17) + AQUA AMSUA
- 3 SSMI (F-13/14/15)
- 2xHIRS (NOAA-16/17)
- **2xAMSU-B** (NOAA-16/17)
- Radiances from 5xGEOS (Met-5/7 GOES-9/10/12)
- Winds from 4xGEOS (Met-5/7 GOES-10/12) and MODIS/TERRA
- SeaWinds from QuiKSCAT
- ERS-2 Altimeter / SAR (limited coverage)
- SBUV (NOAA 16)
- **ENVISAT OZONE** (MIPAS)
Number of observational data used in the ECMWF assimilation system (with AIRS)

- 6h 3D
- 6h 4D
- 12h 4D
- 25r4/26r1
- AIRS

Millions
ECMWF forecasts 1981-2003

Anomaly correlation of 500hPa height forecasts

- Northern hemisphere
- Southern hemisphere
Annual-mean r.m.s. errors against analyses from WMO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Hem</th>
<th>500hPa (m)</th>
<th>S Hem</th>
<th>500hPa (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>D+4</td>
<td>D+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>D+4</td>
<td>D+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>D+4</td>
<td>D+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Hem</th>
<th>Pmsl (hPa)</th>
<th>S Hem</th>
<th>Pmsl (hPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>D+4</td>
<td>D+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>D+4</td>
<td>D+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>D+4</td>
<td>D+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Satellite radiance bias correction

1. Time to retune all sensors

2. Separation of scan correction and first guess predictors

3. Predictors coefficients moved to the assimilation control variable

4. New Data Base for management & time averaging of bias predictors
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AMSUA channel 6 observed-first guess
(6 day sample with no bias correction)

N15

N16

N17

AQUA
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AMSUA channel 6 observed-first guess
(6 day sample with bias correction)
Surface Emissivity Spectra

- **Sea ice** – Coherent reflection
- **Canopy** – Four layer clustering scattering
- **Bare soil** – Coherent reflection and surface roughness
- **Open water** – two-scale roughness theory
- **Snow/desert** – Random
Surface Classification Method (NESDIS)

AMSU TB, 
land-sea mask, 
$\varepsilon$-model

- ocean: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ (land, $\nu$)
- rain: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ (land, $\nu$)
- snow: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ (land, $\nu$) and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon (TB_{23,31,50})$
- seaice fraction $f > 0.5$
- snow on ice: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ (seaice, $\nu$)
- clouds: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ (ocean, $\nu$)
- rain: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ (ocean, $\nu$)

Types:
- ocean: dry snow, refrozen snow
- dry land: 2nd year ice
- wet land: multi-year ice

Surface Classification Method (NESDIS)
Surface classification using AMSU/1 ch1,2,3,15 (nesdis)

Blue sea-ice green land yellow snow white cloud red rain and failed
3 (AMSU-A) instruments

- At any time, NOAA-17 covers large oceanic areas crucial for global NWP forecasts and insufficiently observed by the NOAA-15-16 baseline (e.g. Pacific Ocean at 06 and 12Z)

- A time/space uniform coverage can be fully exploited by the ECMWF 4D-VAR system
500 hPa Z scores averaged over 40 cases
Data used for AMSU-A channel 6 in 4DVAR minimization for four satellites
Four satellites/three in new operational cycle
HIRS cloud detection

A simple cloud detection is based on the C02 slicing ideas and window channel checks. The required clear sky estimate is calculated from the forecast.

Use is made of the 13.4-15micron HIRS channels assuming nocloud effects in HIRS channels 1 and 2.

Starting with channel 2 normalized departures from the first guess are then compared with the channel below. If the departure difference exceeds a threshold then cloud is determined to be in this channel and others below are determined cloudy.

The other channels out of this band are linked to these tests for example if cloud is detected in channel 4 then channel 12 is cloudy.
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Clouds are detected in this channel. 9 is all clear.
Impact of extra HIRS data (69 cases)
AMSU B cloud and rain detection

A Q/C for rain and heavy cloud liquid water has been developed for non frozen land and water but some more test are required over frozen land and ice.

The threshold test uses AMSU B channel 2

\[ |\text{observed} - \text{calculated (first guess)}| < 5 \text{ deg} \]

AMSU B channels 3, 4 and 5 are used in 4D-VAR
Example of AMSU B cloud and rain detection
Impact of AMSU B (25 cases)
Clear Sky Radiances (CSR)

• CSR is defined for a group of pixels:
  ▪ GOES Imager: 11 x 17 pixels (typically 45 x 45 km).
  ▪ Meteosat : 16 x 16 pixels (80 x 80 km at nadir).
  ▪ SEVIRI : 16 x 16 pixels (48 x 48 km at nadir).

• Scene is analysed for cloud.

• Radiance is averaged across cloud free pixels.

• Quality flags give percentage of scene clear and standard deviation of radiances.

• Products are disseminated hourly in BUFR format.
GEOS CSR data coverage (6 hours)

ECMWF Data Coverage (All obs) - GRAD
23/OCT/2003; 00 UTC
Total number of obs = 390755
Impact of GEOS rads

**FORECAST VERIFICATION**

**1000 hPa GEOPOTENTIAL**

**ANOMALY CORRELATION**

**FORECAST**

**AREA: EUROPE**

**TIME: 12**

**MEAN OVER 28 CASES**

**DATE 1: 2003/01/01**

**DATE 2: 2003/07/01**

- **No Geos**
- **Control**
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AIRS data usage in 4DVAR

• Input radiance data consists of sampled 324 channels from NASA / NESDIS-ORA

• All channels flagged clear at a location are assimilated (subject to blacklist)

• After cloud screening ‘good’ data are thinned to a horizontal spacing of 120Km

• Currently we do not attempt to assimilate channels in the O3 band or 4.2 micron band

• Currently we do not attempt to assimilate low level channels over land

• Flat (single global number rather than varying) bias correction used for each channel

• Very simple (and conservative) observation error assigned to each channel (0.6 / 1.0 / 2.0K)
Impact of AIRS (100 cases)
There are three OSE experiments (two summer and two winter months)

1. **CONTROL** the current operational system at ECMWF
   - 3 AMSUs, 2 HIRS, 5 GEOS winds, 3 GEOS radiances, 3 SSMI, Quickscat radiosondes temps and pilots, profiles, aireps, synops, bouys and paobs.

2. **NOSAT** -- all satellite removed ie only radiosondes temps and pilots, profiles, aireps, synops, bouys and paobs.

3. **NO AIREP** -- no airep winds and temperatures.

4. **NO UPPER** -- no radiosondes temps and pilots and profiles.
OSE EXPERIMENTS

FORECAST VERIFICATION
500 hPa GEOPOTENTIAL
ANOMALY CORRELATION FORECAST
AREA=NH THE=12 MEAN OVER 150 CASES

DATE 1-200212T12, DATE 2-200212T12, DATE 3-200212T12, DATE 4-200212T12.
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SH
COMPARISON OF OSE 2003 AND 1999
noupper 12hr normalized error 200hPa Z

noupper 48hr normalized error 200hPa Z
noairep 12hr normalized error 200hPa Z

noairep 48hr normalized error 200hPa Z
TIME SERIES OF 4 DAY FORECAST

FORECAST VERIFICATION 12UTC
500hPa GEOPOTENTIAL
ANOMALY CORRELATION FORECAST
S.HEM LAT -90.000 TO -20.000 LON -180.000 TO 180.000

FORECAST VERIFICATION 12UTC
500hPa GEOPOTENTIAL
ANOMALY CORRELATION FORECAST
S.HEM LAT -90.000 TO -20.000 LON -180.000 TO 180.000
TIME SERIES OF 4 DAY FORECAST

FORECAST VERIFICATION 12UTC
500hPa GEOPOTENTIAL
ANOMALY CORRELATION FORECAST
EUROPE LAT 35.000 TO 75.000 LON -12.500 TO 42.500

AUGUST 2002

SEPTEMBER

FORECAST VERIFICATION 12UTC
500hPa GEOPOTENTIAL
ANOMALY CORRELATION FORECAST
EUROPE LAT 35.000 TO 75.000 LON -12.500 TO 42.500

DECEMBER 2002

JANUARY 2003

FEBRUARY
TIME SERIES OF 4 DAY FORECAST

FORECAST VERIFICATION 12UTC
500hPa GEOPOTENTIAL
ANOMALY CORRELATION FORECAST
N.AMER LAT 25.000 TO 60.000 LON -120.000 TO -75.000
Discussion

Some important findings from the 2003 OSE’s are:

The satellite data has more impact in the Northern Hemisphere than in previous OSE’s even more than radiosondes and profiles combined.

In the four months of assimilation there are very few busts as defined by the anomaly correlation dropping less than 0.6 at day 4.

The Southern Hemispheric forecasts are as good as the Northern Hemispheric forecasts.

The short range RMS wind and temperature forecasts are of excellent quality and show the importance of satellite data.
Discussion (continued)

In the late 80’s TOVS satellite retrievals were removed from the operational OI system in the Northern Hemisphere due to negative impact.

In the early 90’s the use of 1D-VAR TOVS retrievals were re-introduced to the Northern Hemisphere but the impact was very small.

In the mid 90’s operations changed to 3-DVAR and direct use of radiances and the TOVS radiances improved the Northern Hemispheric forecasts and their impact was similar the that of radiosondes.

Today the impact of TOVS radiances together with other satellite data is much larger than impact of radiosondes in the Northern Hemisphere.
Conclusions

The is now a must stronger dependence on satellite data in the ECMWF system and the influence of other data types are becoming less important.

In the future even more use will be made of satellite data with a large research effort being directed towards use of cloud and rain affected radiances.

A research effect is also directed to improving the use of ‘clear air’ satellite data over land.

New OSE’s are required on an on-going basis to understand the relative importance of various components of the current observing system.